Interview Summary

Application No. 08/942,369

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Chen et al

ier

Marjorie Moran

Group Art Unit 1623



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	ΓΟ personnel):
(1) Marjorie Moran	(3)
(2) Mary Consalvi	
Date of Interview May 29, 1998	<u> </u>
Type: ☐ Personal (copy is given to	☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	No. If yes, brief description: ■
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1-19	
the state of the first of the state of the s	
working days to review claims and respond to request.	o if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: hod claims in the instant application. Attorney requested 2 No response ensued in the time requested; therefore no election
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to Examiner requested restriction between device and met- working days to review claims and respond to request. was made by telephone.	hod claims in the instant application. Attorney requested 2 No response ensued in the time requested; therefore no election
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to Examiner requested restriction between device and metaworking days to review claims and respond to request. was made by telephone.	hod claims in the instant application. Attorney requested 2 No response ensued in the time requested; therefore no election
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to Examiner requested restriction between device and metaworking days to review claims and respond to request. was made by telephone. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no	hod claims in the instant application. Attorney requested 2 No response ensued in the time requested; therefore no election
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to Examiner requested restriction between device and metaworking days to review claims and respond to request. was made by telephone. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am	nod claims in the instant application. Attorney requested 2 No response ensued in the time requested; therefore no election endments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to Examiner requested restriction between device and metaworking days to review claims and respond to request. Was made by telephone. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amthe claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a segundless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INC.	endments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable parate record of the substance of the interview. The to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE CLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH